X	FILED ENTERED		CEIVED RVED ON OF RECORD
	SEP	2 8 2007	
BY:		DISTRICT COURT	]  -   DEPUTY

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

PARADISE VALLEY FARMS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

03:04-CV-00759-LRH-VPC

V.

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK,

Defendants.

Before the court is Defendant Washington Mutual Bank's (hereafter "WMA") Motion to Compel (Doc. #77), Plaintiffs Phillip and Marilyn Geertson's Answer to Motion to Compel and Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial (Doc. #79) and Defendant WMA's Reply (Doc. #78). Also before the court are a Motion for Oral Hearing (Doc. #82) by Plaintiff Phillip Geertson and a Response by WMA (Doc. #83).

The parties are familiar with the history of this dispute and the court will not detail it.

Suffice to say, this has been a painful and contentious litigation which culminated in a stipulated settlement agreement which was approved by the court. Disputes arouse concerning whether certain equipment and vehicles were included in the settlement and, after a hearing in June, 2006, the court ruled generally in favor of WMA and ordered that WMA was entitled to the equipment and vehicles at issue (Doc. # 67). Plaintiff WMA has brought its motion to compel based upon its

inability to recover various pieces of the equipment. The court will grant WMA's Motion to Compel in part and deny it in part.

The court notes that the pleadings filed by Plaintiffs which are referenced in the first paragraph of this order are generally not competent. They obviously represent an attempt by Mr. Geertson to represent himself and his wife in a pro se fashion. The untimely pleadings are unsigned, exhibits are not authenticated and the pleadings fail to comply with either the Local Rules or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Much of them consist of an attempt to reargue and present issues which were resolved through the settlement of this litigation while the plaintiffs were represented actively by counsel The court strongly recommends that Mr. Geertson re-establish a relationship with counsel. However, in the interests of justice and in deference to the personal losses which have been suffered by plaintiffs Geertson, the court will recognize the legitimately responsive portions of the Geertson pleadings.

It is clear to the court that a significant portion of the vehicles and equipment awarded to WMA as a result of the stipulated settlement were transferred to WMA either before or after the cours's order of October 2, 2006 (Doc. #67). Apparently a significant portion of the recovered property was sold and/or transferred to Greg Obendorf, a subsequent purchaser from WMA of the property formerly owned by the Geertsons. However, WMA has failed to identify what it specifically did and did not receive. Notwithstanding this failure, it has made a showing of certain specific equipment which warrants the granting of WMA's motion as follows:

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff Phillip Geertson serve a written accounting to WMA counsel within twenty (20) days of this order which accurately describes to the best of his knowledge the condition, location, history to the current date and value of the following items which were ever owned or possessed by any of the Geertsen plaintiffs since December 15, 2003:

1978 Star Hopper bottom semi trailer;

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	1
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
0.4	

1981 Tempto storage van semi trailer:

1994 Load Master Gooseneck trailer;

1961 Fruehauf flat bed semi trailer;

1972 drop deck trailer;

Two (2) Cat 641 Paddle Scrapers, self propelled, believed to have been located at the real property commonly known as the William Hansen Place on Dutch Flat Rd., Winnemucca, Nevada;

D9 Cat, believed to have been located at the real property commonly known as the Dave Rose Place;

1975 Trail Mobile storage semi-trailer, believed to have been located at the real property commonly known as the Paul Hommes Place;

large IH disc, model # 496DH, believed to have been located at the real property commonly known as the John Hommes Place; and,

Carry All Laser Leveler.

The court further orders that all of the foregoing items to which any plaintiffs may have access be immediately delivered or made available for delivery to WMA by placement at the nearest Owyhee County, Idaho, Mahleur County, Oregon or Humboldt County, Nevada property formerly owned by Plaintiffs and subsequently deeded to WMA.

All other relief requested by WMA in its Motion to Compel (Doc. #77) is hereby denied without prejudice to seeking further relief for specifically identified property and specifically described sanctions. The court will consider appropriate sanctions, particularly for any willful non-compliance by plaintiffs.

Other than allowing plaintiff's untimely pleadings, all relief requested by plaintiffs is denied. Plaintiffs are admonished that all future pleadings shall be signed, shall include the signer's address and telephone number, and shall otherwise conform to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (particularly Rule 11) and the Local Rules of Practice. Pleadings shall be properly filed

25

1	with the clerk and served upon opposing counsel.
2	Plaintiffs' motions not otherwise addressed in this order are denied as moot.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	DATED this 28th day of September,2007.
5	lu ·
6	John
7	LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	